# Columbia River Basin <u>Toxics Reduction Strategy</u> <u>Future Vision</u>

### : Proposed Toxic Reduction Actions and Research Needs (1/30/08

The last section of the Draft Columbia River Basin State of the River report is entitled Future Actions and Recommendations, identifying future actions Hike what you have done with this doc.

A major section of the State of the River Report for Toxics will identify future actions that are needed in order to reduce toxics in water, sediments, and biota and research that will help target these actions. The draft report will be done by the end of February and the Future Actions section is one of the last pieces being developed. We would like to use the February 7, 2008, Columbia River Toxics Reduction Workgroup Meeting to discuss a future vision for Columbia River Basin toxics reduction.

The draft paper below is a first attempt at identifying some of these actions and research.

We would like to use these proposed actions and research is as a starting point for discussion at the February 7<sup>th</sup> Toxics Reduction Working Group in Portland. We will have about 1 hour to receive your feedback and ideas you have for both future research and toxic reduction actions. Based on your feedback www will develop the last section of the State of the River Report for Toxics, based on this feedback.

Thise paper is organized around threewe major goals with several "vision" prejects areas related to each goal. Under each vision preject area, if the information was available, there is a short discussion of the need for the project area along with specific activities and who might be responsible for those activities. We have also included a category for costs and timeline but at this point do not plan on completing these because we do not have the information necessary to complete and this cost information will not be a part of the Report.

#### Goal: Increase Toxic Reduction Actions in Columbia Basin

## Project Vision #1: Expand and implement the number of toxics TMDLs in Washington State

Why isn't there a similar goal for Oregon? Similarily, why doesn't WA have a Pesticide Stewwardship Goal...I thinks its our job to try to achieve parity among states...ID too! Afterall, we are trying to lay out future actions that will reduce the delivery of toxicants to the lower and mid-Columbia river.

Need: TMDLs and the implementation actions are an important tools for reducing toxics in the Columbia Basin. Washington State has completed several TMDLs throughout the State for toxics, but additional TMDLs are needed for several other areas

#### Activities

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

• Develop and implement TMDLs for Yakima (DDT), Okanogan (DDT and PCB), Wenatchee (PCB and pesticides), Walla Walla (pesticides and PCBs), and Spokane

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Focus on implementing BMPs to reduce soil erosion from agricultural land and work with conservation districts.

Responsible: Washington State

Costs/Timeline: TBD

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Project Vision #2: Increase the number of Expand the Pesticide Stewardship Programand, Pesticide Take Back Program, and Pharmaceutical Take Back **Program in Oregon** 

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Need: The Pesticide Stewardship Program and the Pesticide Take Back Programs, have been successful low cost while the Pharmaceutical Take Back Program is just beginning. Costs are about \$35K per Pesticide Stewardship Program and about \$20 per Pesticide Take Back Program. toxic reduction efforts.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

#### **Activities**

· Document success from initial programs

• Request additional funding to expand programs to other areas within State.

Responsible: Oregon, Others ??, State

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Costs/Timeline: TBD

Vision #3: Continue clean up and dispose of contaminated sites within the Columbia Basin and identify additional sites that may require remediation..

Need: There are currently several large hazardous waste sites within the Columbia Basin that are currently under investigation or actively being remediated (e.g., Portland Harbor, Hanford, and Lake Roosevelt). The remediation of these sites will reduce toxics in several areas. In addition. EPA and the States have active program to identify additional sites that require investigation and potential remediation.

• Continue to monitor and work with ongoing clean up projects.

• Identify additional sites for potential clean up

Responsible: EPA and States

Costs/Timeline: TBD

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Vision #4 Develop Governmental/NGO Partnerships to Expand Toxics Reduction

Develop a partnership with groups such as Salmon Safe, an NGO that has a certification program for NW vineyards and wineries to reduce water quality impacts Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

from vineyards and specifically reducing toxics through natural methods to control weeds and pests. Salmon Safe has also certified 10,000 acres managed by Portland Parks and Recreation and Salmon Safe is currently working on a certification process for Corporate and University Campuses.

#### Vision #5 Expand Enforcement Actions under TSCA/FIFRA

- Expand inspection programs to inspect equipment which may be leaking PCBs into the environment,

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

#### Project #3: Develop Baseline Data for Contaminants of Concern in Idaho

<u>Need:</u> There is limited data available on toxics in Idaho. There is also limited data related to currently registered pesticides and water quality.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

#### **Activities**

- •Sampling of major watersheds for mercury, PCBs, and other contaminants of concern in order to establish the baseline conditions for these contaminants.
- •Sampling of major agricultural and urban watersheds for pesticides.

Responsible: Idaho DEQ

Costs/Time line: TBD

Project#4. Should we state somewhere the desire to have a pesticide reporting system (ag. And urban use)...as an aside, in Portland, a consumer must now supply name, address, etc. in order to purchase a can of spray paint (we are trying to curb graffiti)...This type of information could be a considerable aid in controlling leading of pesticides on sensitive catchments. Just a thought!

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

#### Vision Project # 56, Expand Municipal storm water permits

- Source ID on contaminants of concern (OR DEQ doing this with Portland Harbor stormwater)
- WA Ecology ....I believe Ecology in actiactively overhauling (?) this process (Phase I Municipal Storm Water Permits)
- OR and ID future work on stormwater permits. This would be an ideal time for our partners to support these actions—hopefully have OR and ID follow suite. We need to source ID contaminants of concern with respect to point sources (storm water runoff as processed through muni waste water treatment facilities....as well as the general waste water stream; This information is needed to carry out tasks listed under the Goal of "Expanding and Increasing Research and Characterization Activities"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Project #4: Continue clean up and dispose of contaminated sites within the Columbia Basin and identify additional sites that may require remediation...

Need: There are currently several large hazardous waste sites within the Columbia
Basin that are currently under investigation or actively being remediated (e.g., Portland
Harber, Hanford, and Lake Roosevelt). The remediation of these sites will reduce toxics

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

in several areas. In addition. EPA and the States have active program to identify additional sites that require investigation and potential remediation.

#### <u>Activities</u>

- •Continue to monitor and work with ongoing clean up projects.
- •Identify additional sites for potential clean up

Responsible: EPA and States

Costs/Timeline: TBD

#### Goal: Expand and Increase Research and Characterization Activities

Vision Project #1: Expand monitoring to evaluate the impact of toxics on fish, she lifish, wildlife and humans who depend on the river

Need: In order to better focus toxics reduction efforts it is important to expand monitoring efforts within the Basin. While significant amounts of data have been collected and more is planned, there continues to be a need to collect data to fill important gaps in our knowledge of toxics in the Columbia Basin. There is especially a need to fill data gaps for the mid-Columbia and several tributaries including the Snake while continuing to collect data on the lower Columbia. The goal is to fill the gaps, achieve monitoring parity, and similarly achieve parity among the research and monitoring activities... USGS makes no distinction between lower and mid Columbia...data gaps exist that need to be filled-, but both these reaches require an integrated monitoring program.

#### Activities

- Develop analytical techniques and expand monitoring for emerging contaminants such as pharmaceuticals, personal care products and PBDEs in water, sediment, and hinta
- Monitor concentrations of PCBs and other bioaccumulative chemicals including mercury and emerging contaminants in mink, river otter, osprey, and bald eagles.
- Document population trends in mink and otter throughout the Columbia River Basin including an evaluation of the factors contributing to their increase or decrease.
- Continue monitoring of bald eagle and osprey productivity and contaminant concentrations in the Lower Columbia and expand to other parts of the Columbia Basin.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

 Collect additional data on concentrations of contaminants in juvenile and adult salmon include resident fish too.-

Responsible: USGS, NOAA, EPA, FWS, and States

Costs/Timeline: TBD

Vision #2: Develop Baseline Data for Contaminants of Concern in Idaho
Need: There is limited data available on toxics in Idaho. There is also limited data
related to currently registered pesticides and water quality.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

#### <u>Activities</u>

- Sampling of major watersheds for mercury, PCBs, and other contaminants of concern in order to establish the baseline conditions for these contaminants.
- Sampling of major agricultural and urban watersheds for pesticides.

Responsible: Idaho DEQ, USGS, Others (?)

Costs/Timeline: TBD

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

#### Project Vision #32: Estimate the toxics loadings of toxics to the Columbia Basin

Need: There is currently very little information on the loadings of toxics in the Columbia Basin. This information is important in order to better target toxic reduction efforts.. Information on loadings could help address several questions: 1) What toxics are entering the Columbia Basin ecosystem; 2) Where are they coming from; 3) How much is entering the ecosystem; and 4) Where are they going. This is a very complicated task that would require a significant investment of time and resources. The State of the River Report begins to inventory and characterize the sources of toxics, but is just a beginning.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

#### Activities

- Identify the contaminants of concern focusing on emerging contaminants.
- Identify the sources and pathways for the contaminants of concern
- Obtain concentrations of contaminants of concern and flow data, if applicable, to estimate loadings.
- Determine feasibility of estimating loadings for the contaminants of concern given the
  available data. At a minimum describe the relative contribution from the major
  sources and pathways and to the extent possible quantify the relative contributions

Responsible: States, USGS, and EPA

Costs/Timeline: TBD

ProjectVision #43: Develop a comprehensive and accessible database on toxics for the Columbia Basin

<u>Need:</u> There is currently no single database which contains all the data from monitoring efforts within the Basin. This makes it very difficult to evaluate the current status of

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

contaminant concentrations and there potential impacts on humans and biota. The compilation of a database is difficult and time consuming and the issue of long-term maintenance would need to be addressed. However, without an accessible database it will be difficult to evaluate the data in an effective and consistent manner in order to target those activities that will provide the most benefit in terms of reducing toxics.

#### Activities

- Evaluate the utility and cost for developing a database and identify roles and responsibilities of different agencies for development, operation, and maintenance.
- If deemed appropriate, develop a workplan on creating a database.

Responsible: States and EPA

Costs/Timeline: TBD

#### Project #4Vision #5: Assess the health of fish using biological markers

<u>Need:</u> The majority of studies in the Columbia have focused on the health of humans and wildlife. Very little has been done to assess the health of the fish and other aquatic organisms.

#### **Activities**

In order to evaluate the health of fish and aquatic organisms, studies would be
conducted using reproductive and biochemical endpoints (e.g., gonadal
histopathology; Hepatic ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD); and vitellogenin).
 These biological markers are capable of documenting exposure and effects from the
contaminants of concern.

Responsible: USGS and NOAA

Costs/Timeline: TBD

#### Project #5 Vision #6: Better characterize Mercury contamination

Need: Several studies have identified mercury accumulation at levels of concern in fish in the Columbia Basin and have shown methyl mercury production in surface waters is due to specific water chemistry characteristic. Studies have also shown that increased methyl mercury concentrations result from increased temperatures, increased alkalinity, and decreased dissolved oxygen concentrations and other changes in general water chemistry parameters. However, additional research is needed to better understand the processes related to mercury bioaccumulation in the food chain. Also, there is debate about the role of wetlands in methyl mercury production especially given the current efforts underway to restore wetlands.

#### Activities

Conduct mercury and methyl mercury water monitoring, and fish tissue mercury
monitoring on a seasonal basis, coupled with monitoring for general water chemistry
parameters that are known to affect methyl mercury production. This data would be

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt

- used to assess the link between mercury and methyl mercury in water and fish tissue mercury concentrations, as well as identify which general water chemistry parameters are driving the methylation of mercury in the river.
- Develop a better understanding of the factors that contribute to bioaccumulation of mercury in the food chain including understanding the factors that contribute to mercury methylization and de-methylization.
- Monitor several wetlands to determine whether methyl mercury is accumulating in fish.

Responsible: States, EPA, and USGS

Costs/Timeline: TBD

#### Goal: Increase Public Awareness and Education to Promote Toxics Reduction

#### Vision #1. Increase Public and Consumer Education Efforts

- Pharmaceutical Take Back Program in Oregon Legislation is needed
- Consumer Education on Choices/Oregon Environmental Council Model
- Expand Lower Columbia River Estuary Partnership education efforts
- Recommendations from

Again...nice job here and thanks for the opportunity to provide input. greg

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt